THE A A BRONX DEFENDERS

SOUTH THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#:

DATE FILED: Y 1603

Honorable Debroah A. Batts United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 2510 New York, New York 10007 860 Courtlandt Avenue, Bronx, NY 10451 (718) 838-7878 • Fax: (718) 665-0100

ECF Case

MEMO ENDODOS

DEGEWE ADD Z 6 24 24 ADD Z 6 24

Re: Michael Johnson v. City of New York, et al., 04-Civ-6858 (DAB) DEBORAH A. BATTS

Your Honor:

I am an attorney associated with The Bronx Defenders, a non-profit law office, and I represent the plaintiff in the above-captioned civil rights action. With the consent of all parties, I write respectfully to request that plaintiff's time to serve defendant PO Rodriguez and unidentified defendant Sergeant John Doe under F.R.C.P. 4(m) be enlarged *nunc pro tunc* from April 23, 2005 until June 23, 2005. Defendants' counsel has consented to this enlargement of time.

By memo-endorsed orders dated December 20, 2004 and February 18, 2005, the Court extended plaintiff's time to serve defendant PO Rodriguez and unidentified defendant Sergeant John Doe until April 23 to give the City the opportunity to locate and identify those defendants. To assist the City in that effort, plaintiff executed a waiver in December 2004 to permit the City to access court files that had been sealed pursuant to New York Criminal Procedure Law § 160.50. Assistant Corporation Counsel Alison Gugel has informed me that she expects to identify these defendants very soon, and she consents to a request for an enlargement of time for service.

Accordingly, I respectfully request, with the consent of all parties, that plaintiff's time to serve PO Rodriguez and unidentified defendant Sergeant John Doe under F.R.C.P. 4(m) be enlarged nunc pro tunc until June 23, 2005. I note that Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that if service of the summons and complaint is not made within 120 days after filing a court "shall extend the time for service for an appropriate period" if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m); see Shider v. Communications Workers of America, No. 95 Civ. 4908, 1999 WL 673345, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 30, 1999); Blessinger v. United States, 174 F.R.D. 29, 31 (E.D.N.Y. 1997). Even in the absence of good cause, the Court, in its discretion, may extend the time for service. See Shider, 1999 WL 673345, at *2; Gowan v. Teamsters Union (237), 170 F.R.D. 356, 359-60 (S.D.N.Y. 1997).

MEMO ENDOMSED

SO ORDERED

Deborah a. Batts

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

avauted bytes y/26/03/